

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/597,784	CRAWFORD, JAMES
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kristie D. Shingles	2141

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** ALLOWED

(1) Kristie D. Shingles, Examiner. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Rob DeVoto, Atty. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 13 November 2007

**Time:** 10:00am

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

101

Claims discussed:

31,35,36,40,45

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon Primary approval, Examiner contacted Atty in order to place the claims in condition for allowance. Examiner proposed corrective claim language to overcome 101 rejection of claims 31, 35, 36, 40 and 45. Atty authorized Examiner's Amendment and the claims were amended accordingly.